App. F. IX-4

1932 (Pol)

File No. 105-32

Serial Nos. 1-4, 6, 8-13

Previous References
Pol 202-14-42

Later References.
Pol 23-64.(2-3

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Eight-

Part B for May '35

SUBJECT.

Petn. of 6.10.32. from Mr Mariappaji Urs Belagutti village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga Dist. appealing against the order of the D.M. Shimoga Dist. declining to permit him to parade certain insignia during the Dasara & on marriage occasions.

15.)

1) The D.M. Shimoga Dist.
2) The Advocate for the Appellant
3, Mr Mariappaji Urs

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No. P 6363. dt 17.5.35.

Orders on the above and authorises the D.M. Shimoga Dist— to issue licenses to the party, on the bases of this.

Dy. Nkmd Azeez
30.6.35.

General and Revenue Secretariat

~~License~~ appeal Pl. 2255 of Mariappaji &c

File No. 105 of 1932 — App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
1	License Appeal Petition dated 6.10.32 from Mariappaji Urs, Belagutti village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga Dist. against the order of the Deputy Commr & Dist. Magistrate Shimoga re the use of Berus-dues by Peti. ~~Petr~~ Urs. 1) Submitted for orders whether the records may be called for & the case be posted. Ke 29/10 MSK 2) under what provision of Law does this Cause lie? Ce 29/10/32
2	3) The Petition comes under Sections ~~295~~, 41 & 45 of the Police Regulation V of 1908 as amended by Regulation VI of 1921. Ke 29/10 MSK 31/10/32 4) The file re the use of insignia by private persons other than Mahathmas patris is submitted herewith 5) For orders if appeal may be admitted & notice issued & record called for. Second Member) Ce 2/11/32 6) Recall for records. Mr Sly whether this was a home appeal under what provn of law this appeal passed Ce 2/11/32 7/11/32

2 NOV. 1932 3631

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—50,000—13-8-31.

~~Licence~~ appeal petition of Mariappa & ors Belgaum

File No. 1065 | 1864 | 2253 of 193 2 — General and Revenue Secretariat

1) Draft letter to the Dist is submitted for appl

Ke MSK

7/11/32

S

Issue
Legs/14/

SECRETARIAT
10 NOV 1932
GENL. & REV. SEC.

To

The Dist. Magistrate, Dharwar Dist

Letter no. P 2719 dated 12.11.32 forwarding the petition for sending the connected records & enquiring whether there was a licence appln & under what provision of law the order appealed against is passed

Draft
14/11/32

Petition dated 18.11.32 from Mariappa Raj Urs & 3 ors submitting certain additional ~~proofs~~ points & praying for an ad-interim order permitting them to use the hirudus pending final orders on the appeal

Glegs

2) The Dist Magistrate Dharwar Dist has been addressed regarding the points referred to in the Members' order in (Para 6 supra) & for the records. Reply may be awaited.

3) The party may be informed that their petition is receiving attention & that no ad-interim order is possible at this stage if approved.

Submitted for orders

Ke
21/11/32

G.R.S. III—3]

2642/32
P

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

2553/32
P 6
9/11/11

10) Issue of Bechuanaland Hundreds.

(See Admn. S. Upkeep Comm.)

(Use of Barbados.)

[illegible]

Any reply
RG
9/11/32

Want a Standard
Leave reply
RG
9/11/32

Ce
9/11/32

11) The Treasury Member has asked that records may be called for & a letter is addressed to the District Registrar Date of hearing will be fixed on receipt of records
Chief Secretary RG 9/11/32

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Ce 11/11

~~Licence~~ appeal Petition of Mariappaji Urs 4
Belagula (App. No. III) 2

al and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 105 of 1932

Serial No.

(2) Pending decision in the appeal, the petitioners pray that the status quo according to the licence of 1915 may be maintained.

The records & the report have not yet been received from the D.C.

(Food Member) 25/11/32

OFFICE OF THE ... 1932 No. 4324

(3) ~~The~~ In the present petition only one "is asked for, ~~He~~ meaning that the petitioner may be permitted to ~~make~~ have the vicinity land where his ancestors arrack was issued in 1915. His second petition, semi subsequent to that time is his enquiry ~~from~~ & finding the steps Govt is pursuing the case & first 9/19-11-19.

The D.C. may be requested to expedite his report.

25/11/32

28/11

25/11/32

TYPING SECTION 29. NOV. 1932 GENL. & REV. SECTY.

(4) Draft reminder to the D.C. is submitted for appn.

29/11/32

(5) Done 29/11/32

Note & Cover Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Govt PW P 30 40 dated 1.12.32

1/12/32

5 Appeal Petition of Maraappaji Urs re use of certain Bevudes

File No. 105 of 193 2	General and Reve. Secretariat

From

The ~~Deputy~~ Commissioner, Shimoga 2
District.

letter no J.1.C.150/32-33 dated 3.12.32
forwarding the connected records relating to the use of certain bevudes by Maraappaji Urs and stating that on the representation of the petitioner in the matter of title to use certain bevudes, an enquiry was ordered to be made & on the finding be made on the report of the enquiry conducted by the Sub-divisional Officer, Shimoga, the order now appealed against was passed.

b) The ~~Dist~~ Deputy Commr does not state whether there was a license application from the petitioner & under what provision of law the order was passed. But it is seen from the report & the records sent by him that the petitioner made a general representation to give him a title to use the bevudes enumerated by him in the petition & that the D.C. on a full enquiry & report made by the Sub-divisional Officer, Shimoga & on his

eral and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 .

Serial No.

on his hearing the parties, passed orders with reference to the Standing orders on the subject dated 19.11.1919 (flagd).

Submitted for orders

10/12/1932

17) The Appellants (petrs) are known as the Kote uravu of Belagutti village in Honnali Taluk. They claim to carry in procession on the Vijayadasami day certain Birudus (honours). Their claim is opposed by the party called the Kote uravu. The S.D.O was directed to hold an enquiry & on his report the Dt Magt Shimoga has passed an order allowing some honours only & disallowing others. The Dt Magt does not say under what provision of law the order is passed. It is evidently an order under Sec 41 of the Police Act.

Date may be fixed for hearing the parties.

First Number)

23/12/32

18) 27th Jan 1933

4.1.33

5/1/33

5/1/33

The Appellants may be written to to deposit necessary process fee & notice

Draft is submitted for approval

6/12/1932

5/1/33

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF 3 JAN 1933 BOARD

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

File No. of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

Memo no P 3792 dated 5-1-33 to Mr. H.C. Dasappa, advocate, Mysore, requesting that Court fee Stamps of 8 annas value may be deposited for issuing notices to the respondent in the case.

(Copy to Mrs Belur Srinivasa Iyengar & Narasappa Rao Brs.)

19) The party has sent eight annas for the value of Court fee Stamps for issuing notice to the parties respondent.

Notice to the respondent may perhaps issue

Notices issued to the parties P 3846 10-1-33

20) 3

C 10/1/33

9/1/33

Draft Memo to the advocate in Submitted for appl.

10/1/33

3.1.33

C 10/1

Memo no P 3869 dated 12-1-33 to Mr H.C. Dasappa & copy to Mrs Belur Srinivasa Iyengar informating that the hearing of the case is posted for the 27th January 33 at 2 P.M.

TYPING SECTION 10 JAN 1933 GENL. & REV. SECTT

21) Submitted for kind perusal.

The notices to the parties herein have been served & returned. Notices to the 2nd 3rd & 4th appellants have been taken for service by the 1st appellant.

24.1.33 pm

(illegible)

3178

E

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

22) The hearing has been adjourned to 17.2.83 as ordered by the Member and the same has been intimated to the parties

25/1/83

23) ~~Sri Sarappa~~ Appellants absent but Sri Lakshminarappa who was present earlier for an appellant in the appeal matter was informed that the matter was not listed for hearing today and that the appellants counsel may be informed to that effect

Mr. H.C. Sarappa advocate has on behalf of the appellants presented an application for producing additional evidence. The application is forwarded with the request for taking such additional evidence as may be adduced and submitting the case for the orders of Court

17-2-83

17/2

24) Draft is submitted for approval

24/2/83

25/2/83.

25) Done

Secretariat Board Council. 17 FEB 83 No. 6297

B. No. 84449 - Memo returning the notice duly endorsed as served

24/2/83

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—50,000—13-8-31.

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

To

The D.C. Shimoga

Letter no P4614 dated 24.2.83 forwarding the petition dated 17.2.83 from Mr. Mariappa Rajurs together with the connected records for taking such additional evidences as may be adduced & submitting the case for orders thereof.

Sec A
24/2/83

Application dated 17.2.83 from Mr. H.C. Basappa, requesting for copies of the order passed in the file re the appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Rajurs, on 17.2.82 & copy of the petition presented by Mr. Mariappa Rajurs on 17.2.83.

2b) The order referred to, para 2 supra, is not meant for communication to the party. It is for reexamination of the case by the D.C. in the light of the new evidence to be adduced by the petitioner. As such the petitioner may not be entitled for a copy of the order.

~~Copy of the petition as requested, may be granted thereon.~~

Submitted for orders. An endorsement may perhaps be given in regard to

24/2/83

4570.

Serial No.

to his petition dated 17.2.33 that the Dm. has been requested to take such additional evidence as may be adduced by the petitioner. On the authority of his endorsement he may adduce additional evidence, if any.

Le 27/2/33 MLSIC

28) The copy of the order may be given to the petitioner as it is necessary for him to know what further action has to be taken. Copy of his appeal may also be granted

Le 28/2/33

The petition in original has been sent to the Dm.

29) Draft endorsement is submitted for appl.

Le 28/2/33 MSR

30) Sir

G 1/3

End. No P 4778 dated 4.3.33 to Mr. H.C. Dasappa informing that the petition dated 17.2.33 from Mr. Mariappa has been forwarded to the Dist Magte Shimoga for taking such additional evidence as may be adduced & for submitting the case for orders of Govt

Yes Sir

7/3/33

TYPING SE... 1 MAR 19... ENGLISH

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Petition dated 19 · 5 · 33 from Mr. Maruapparaja
Rs of Belagutti stating that the Dist Magte
has issued notices to the opponents also for
giving evidences in the case and praying
that as the case is between govt & himself
the Dist Magte may be ordered to abide
by the instructions of govt dated 17 · 2 · 31.

31) Kind reference to para 23 supra
wherein it has been ordered that the case
is one between Govt & the petitioner (Mr
Maruapparaj Rs of Belagutta) & that
the opponents have really no locus standi
The Petition was ~~addressed to~~ forwarded to the
Dist Magte for taking such additional
evidences as may be adduced by the
petitioner as ordered in the same para
vide this office letter dated 24 · 2 · 33
flag B.

The case, being one relating to the
claim to the use of certain Benamies, falls
within the purview of G · O P D 202 - 14 - 41
dated 19 11 · 19 flag L. In term of
the orders contained therein the Dist Magte
has to examine all the evidences (para 4 of the fo)
pertaining to the claim & forward the
case with his recommendation for orders
of govt. In such if the D.C. thinks
that the evidences of the opponents
will have some bearing on the

Use of certain Bicycles by
Mr. Mariappa Nayak of Belgaum

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 155 of 1932 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

the existence or otherwise of the right of the petitioner is inclined to take their evidences as well as others', he is free to do it.

The only point is that there cannot be any counter petitions, as the case is not one of disputes between two private parties. But this does not in any way come in the way of the Dist Magte inviting the party who are anxious to prove that the petitioner has not been in the enjoyment of the honours which he claims now.

In the light of the above, the petitioner may perhaps be informed that the Dist Magte may take whatever evidences deemed to have a bearing on the subject & the question of any opponents does not arise in the case. The D.C. may also be told likewise & modify the form of the notice

Submitted for orders as to whether the order of the DM directing the issue of notice to the opponent is in order, considering the view taken by the Govt in the matter at para 23

25/5/33.

MSK 25.5.33

32) The orders passed on 17.2.33 (para 23 supra) clearly give no room to any opponents in the case being heard in the matter - It directs the Dt Magte to take such addl evidence as may be adduced by the petitioners and does not allow other parties being called upon to give evidence -

33) altho' this would not prevent the Dt Magte himself calling all the evidence that may be forthcoming.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPH—15,000—22-8-32

13 Use of certain Bermuda leg Mrs. Marappa and Mrs of Bellary

pd File No. 155 of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

If appd, the Dt Magte will be instructed accordingly.
First member)

DRP 25/5/33

34) 26/5/33 RG 26/5

35) Dft DRP 26/5

36) Draft Endt is submitted for appl
26/5/33 MSR 27/5/33

37) A draft to the Dt Magte has to be put up. The endorsement to the party may merely say that the Dt Magte has been instructed in the matter.

DRP 27/5

38) Draft letter to the Dist Magte, and draft endorsement to the petitioner, are submitted for appl
29/5/33 MSR 30/5/33

39) Issue DRP 30/5/33

To
The Dist Magte, Shimoga Dist

OFFICE OF THE ... Fl. ... No. 9155

TYPING SECTION 30 MAY 1933 L. & REV. SEC.

Right to use certain Birudes by Dvr 14
Marappa Raj Urs of Belagutha

General and Revenue Secretariat | PR File No. 105 of 1932 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
8	Letter no P 6212 dated 31 5 33 stating that in lieu of his office letter dated 24. 2. 33, he may himself collect all the evidences, as the opponents have no locus standi
	Issued Endt No P 6213 dated 31 5 33 to the Petitioner, stating that necessary instructions have been issued to the Dist Magte, Shimoga in the matter.
	From The Dist Magte, Shimoga Letter J. 1. 6/50 of 1931-32 dated 2. 7. '33 submitting the connected records together with the additional evidences adduced by the petitioner together with the certified copy of the license granted to the petitioner in 1915 and a manuscript copy called "Kalikarayana Purana Vrittanta". (a) The Dist Magte has examined four persons viz 1) Sangappa, 2) Marishimappa 3) Sama Rangappa and 4) Maremallappa (b) All these four persons testify that it has is the custom in the family of the petitioner to have a procession accompanied by the following heroes during the Navami & Dasami days of Dasara :- ~~1) Horses 2) Horses, 3) Bravadors~~ 1) Palaki, 2) Chatri, 3) Chamara, 4) Affagiri, 5) Veshamis, 6) Kathi, 7) Katari, 8) Matara

Sus A
7/9/33

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Mahara Thorna. The first witness is 70 years old, the second 65 years, the third 60 years and the fourth 85 years.

42) They say that they have been seeing the father & elder members of the family of Mr. Mariappa Raj as were conducting such processions accompanied by the honours mentioned above & after them Mr. Mariappa Raj has been following the custom.

43) The license granted by the Assistant Magistrate, Hosmali, a copy of which is filed with the records sent by the Dist Magt, shows that Messrs Mariappa Raj & Srinivasappa were permitted to carry the following honours in 1915 :—

Mohar 1
Anevaraha 1
Yellow nishani 1
Katari 5
Patta Kathi 1
" Katari 1
Affapiri 2
Bellakatari 2
Pallaki 1
Red Chatri 1
Swords 15
Chamara 2
Nishani 1 (Hanuma)
Fans 4

Use of certain honours by 16
668 Dvr. Mariappa Naiker
Belagutti

General and Revenue Secretariat | P.S. File No. 105 of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

There honours were permitted to be displayed only on 2 days; Navami & Dasami days during Dasara, in procession.

4(e) While the additional evidence given by the four persons allege that the Makara Thorna was also used by the petitioner, there is no mention of that honour in the license

4(f) Of these honours mentioned in the deposition of the witnesses & the license 1) Makara Thorna, 2) Aftagiri & 3) Mohar belong to Royal honours & the remaining ones to Special honours in the categories of honours mentioned in the Go dated 19.11.19 flag 'L'

4(b) The Dist Magte has not however furnished his opinion as to whether a strong case is made out of the evidence now adduced & also of the other facts contained in the Records.

4(j) Submitted for orders with reference to the orders in para 23 of the rules.

8/7/33.

4(k) This case was heard on 17.2.33 (para 23 supra) and on the H.C. Dasappa's motion, it was remanded to the Dt Magte, Shimoga, for taking add'l evidence, & submitting them for orders of Govt.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

17 Appeal – Use of certain buildings
– Mr. Mallappa Raj Urs & Ors
Of Belgaum

P87 File No. 105 of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

The Dt. Magte has accordingly recorded the evidence produced by the petitioners and sent up the papers for orders.

49) A date has to be fixed for hearing the petitioner's Advocate.

First Member)

MMP 11.7.33

50) 4th Aug. at 2 P.M. bg 13/7/33

RG 13/7/33

MMP 13/7/33

51) Draft memo to the Advocate for the appellant is submitted for appl.

bg 13/7/1933

52) Issue

MMP 13/7

Memo No. P226 dated 14/15-7-33 to Mr. H.C. Dasappa informing that the above mentioned petition will be heard on the 4th August 1933 at 2 P.M.

53) The Member will hear the case on Friday the 11th ~~inst~~ August at 2 P.M instead of on the 4th Aug.

Belgaum 31/7/33

54) Please write to me H.C. Dasappa

MMP 31/7

OFFICE
Fd. 11 JULY 1933
No. 299

TYPING SECTION
14. JUL. 193.
GEN. & REV. SEC.

Res 16/7/1933

Appeal:— Use of certain Bensedik 18 leg
Mrs Mariappa Raj Urs [App. F. III
of Belagatta

Judicial and Revenue Secretariat | P&J File No. 105 of 1932.

Serial No.	
55	Draft memo is submitted for appl
	31/7/33
56	Issue
	MP 31/7
	Memo No P S 31 dated 31.7.33 informing Mr. H.C. Dasappa that the hearing of the case has been since adjourned to 11th August 1933 (Copy to Mr. Mariapparaj Urs of Belagatta)
	From
	Mr. H.C. Dasappa, Advocate, Mysore City Letter dated 2.8.33 stating that the 11th instant to which the case is posted for hearing is very inconvenient to him & requesting that it may be posted to 9th instant.
57	Submitted for orders
	3/8/33
58	Mr. Dasappa is willing to have any date except the 11th & 18th. He suggests that it may, if convenient be posted to 9th.
	Submitted for orders
	T (Gentlemen) MP 3/8
59	4/8
60	Draft memo to Mr. H.C. Dasappa is submitted for appl
	3/8/33
61	Issue MP 3/8

TYPING SECTION
3 AUG 1933
GENL & Contl. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

19 Appeal — Use. of certain Devadas by Mrs Mariappa Raj Urs & Ors of Belagutti

P.D. File No. 105. of 1932 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Memo No P 588 dated 3/4. 8. 33 to Mrs H.C. Dasappa, (Copy to Mrs Mariappa Raj Urs, Belagutti) informating that the hearing of the case is posted to 9th August '33 as requested by him.

6/2) Mr Darappa presents petn — Re evidence to address before the Dt Mgte consists of (1) an Extract of Kan. Manuscript & (2) oral testimony of 4 persons & (3) affidavit of 1915 — in the absence of the value attached to MS No(1) doesn't help & No(3) is documentary evidence to Kind. contemplated is the Jamabandi 1920 (but it pertains only to 18 years) & No(2) is not.

Mr Darappa on behalf of the petr begs to withdraw his claim to the user of the royal insignia — appeal

Mr Darappa asks for an opportunity to summon & examine before the Dt Mgte a competent person or persons who can speak to the value of the document No 1. This will be allowed & the Dt Mgte requested to take the evidence & submit a report within 2 months.

by
9/8/33
DH
DNC
9/8

Appeal — Writ of certiorari issued by Dr. Mariappa, Raj. Vs. of Belgaum

Serial No.

63) Draft letter to the Dist Magte & endorsement to the advocate for submittal for appl.

by MSR 10/8/33

64) Issued MR 10/8/33



To

The Dist Magte, Shimoga Dist

Letter no P 740 dated 12.8.33 requesting to take the further evidence to be adduced by the petitioner regarding the Kanada manuscript & submit the case for orders of Govt within 2 months.

Memo No P 742 dated 12.8.33 to Dr. H.C. Dasappa (copy to Dr. Mariappa Raj Vs) informing that the Dist Magte Shimoga has been instructed to take further evidence of the person & persons competent to speak to the value of the Kanada manuscript and submit it for orders of Govt.

Sus A 12/8/33.

From the Dist Magte. Shimoga.

No G1.C. 150/31-32 & 12-12-33

Forwards the deposition of Dr. Shama Sastry, who is an authority on the subject of ancient manuscripts and Archaeological matters who was examined on commission by the Dist Magte, Mysore in the presence of Mr. H.C. Dasappa, Advocate.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. 173 of 1932

General and Revenue Secretariat

21) Appeal - case of certain Biradars by Mr. Mariappa Rajaguru & others of Belagutti

for the petitioner.

65) Sealed with reference to para 62 of the note.

66) First number) 19/12/33

67) The case may be posted for hearing - (26th Jany 2 P.M.)

23/12

68)

69) Draft sealed 26/12

70) Issue 26/12

OFFICE OF THE MEMBER OF C. 20 DEC. 1933 No. 4814

G SECTION 26 DEC. 1933

Memo No P 30 84 dated 3/1/34 to Mr. H.C. Dasappa & copy to Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs Belagutti informing that the case will be heard on the 26th January 1934 at 2 P.M.

71) Mr. H.C. Dasappa heard - Order reserved

26/1/34

72).

The following note is put up as directed.

In their application "K" dated 21.9.1916 to the District Magistrate Rangappa rajiah and Lakshmanappa rajiah on behalf of Pete Ursus, claimed the use of the marginally noted Birudus when they went in procession on Mahanavami and Vijaya dasami days and in connection with marriages in their family. They have also added that they were using these insignia when they went to pay visit to His Highness the Maharaja. In connection with the above application, eleven witnesses were examined by the Amildar and 2nd class magistrate (Sh. K. Mallaraj urs) in october 1916. All of them speak to the use of the Birudus by the Pete Ursus during Dasara only. Six more witnesses have deposed to the same effect before the Amildar magistrate (Sh. V. Dwarkanath) in 1922.

1) Aftagiri
2) Yellow Nishan
3) Chamara
4) Palki
5) Hanuma Nishan
6) Pattada Katti
7) Pattada Katari
8) Bhalle Kattige
9) Red umbrella
10) Kathi
11) Ane Varaha
12) Mohara.

It is seen from the statement dated 8/9/23 of Rangappa rajah on behalf of Pete Ursus before the Amildar ("L") (Sh. E. Rama Rao) that they have claimed

The marginally noted 12 honours in addition to those mentioned in their application presented to the Deputy-Commr. in 1916. They have stated

1) Makara torana
2) Swetachatri
3) Green Nishani
4) Chowri
5) Danka on horse
6) Garuda Nishani
7) Simhalalatada Palki
8) Pattada Kodli
9) Gaggarada Katige
10) Katari
11) Seating in Palki
12) Seating on chair at Dussera.

that the Birudus were used during Dasara festivities and on marriage occasions and when they went to pay visits to His Highness the Maharaja, the Dewan and other high officers. Seven witnesses were examined. Six of them say that they have seen the Pete Arasu using these Birudus when they went in procession on Mahanavami and Vijayadasami days only. Gowda Basappa (aged 105) has however, stated that the Birudus were used during Dasara festivities, marriages in the Pete Arasu family and when the latter went to see His Highness the Maharaja. All the ten witnesses whose evidence was recorded by the Assistant Commr. in 1929 have also spoken to the effect that the Pete Arasus were using the Birudus during Dasara only.

D"

In his report dated 17.12.1928 the Amildar Sh. E Rama Rao refers to the use of the insignia at the time of Dasara" and other festive occasions" ; but his recommendation is silent as regards

the occasion or occasions on which the use of the Burudus may be permitted. The Assistant Commissioner's report dated 26.3.1932 is specific and confines itself to the use of the insignia during Dasara. The District Magistrate has permitted the use of certain Burudus during Dasara only

In the present petition (before Govt.) the petitioners pray for permission to use the honours during Dasara as well as on marriage occasions The additional evidence recorded before the District Magistrate on 19/6/1933 on the application of the petitioners is silent as regards the use of the Burudus during occasions other than Dasara.

Of the honours claimed, Sweta chatri, yellow Nishani, Green Nishani Chowri, Chamara, Danka on horse and Simhalalatada Palki are "Special honours" The use of the special honours by private persons should be permitted only after production of satisfactory documentary evidence in proof of specific grant by Sovereign authorities or long and uninterrupted usage of fifty years or more. (Vide para 4 of G.O. No. G 11404-14/Pol(202-14-6) dated 19.11.1914). It is also directed

that in the case of any alleged right the local offices should obtain all the evidence that might be adduced and if in their opinion a strong case is made out submit the records for the orders of Govt. The Petitioners have not been able to show any documentary evidence as regards the use of these Biruds. The evidence let in is only oral. None of the witnesses speak to the use of "Danka on horse" during Dasara Processions and excepting one or two the remaining say that only ordinary Palki was being paraded.

Sweta chatri, Green Nishan Chowri. Danka on horse and Simhalalatada Palki are not mentioned in the application presented to the District Magistrate in 1916. The Assistant Comm^r. is of opinion that the petitioners should be bound down to the list furnished in 1916 and that the five Biruds mentioned above may be disallowed. These have been disallowed by the District Magistrate also. The Assistant Comm^r. for reasons given in para 5 of his letter dated 26. 3. 1932 recommends that of the special honours claimed, Yellow Nishan and chamara may be allowed. The District Magistrate is,

is not in favour of permitting any of the 26 Special honours to be used on the ground that no documentary evidence is forthcoming to establish the petitioner's claim to these honours and that the oral evidence is also not very convincing. He adds that even if the witnesses for the petitioner could be believed with regard to the use of the special honours, they have not clearly established that they have been in enjoyment of these honours for an uninterrupted period of 50 years or more.

It is ascertained from the Protocol Branch that in no case has any special honours been sanctioned since the G.O. of 1919.

V
24/4/1935

73)

Petition of Maniappa raj Urs of Belaguthi Village Honnali Taluk re. the use of certain Bunders during the Dasara.

In connection with the above Case, the First Member wants to know in what cases any special honours have been sanctioned since the G.O. of 1919. The info may be kindly got now.

C.C. Gabriel
18/4

To The Supdt
Protection Br Wirl Camp

The Secty file leading to the issue of the G.O. is also placed below.

74) No honours or insignia ~~to be~~ (Special) ~~have~~ have been sanctioned in any case since 1919. G.O

18/4/35

The Members' Sec

	Insignia claimed as set forth in the Amildar's letter dated 19.12.1925.	Insignia recommended by the Amildar.	Insignia recommended by the Asst. Comr.	Insignia permitted by the Dep. Comr. Hubli
1.	Attagiri (Royal)	—	—	—
2.	Mallara Torana (Royal)	—	—	—
3.	Sweta chatri (Special)	Swetachatri	—	—
4.	yellow Nishani (Special)	yellow Nishani	yellow Nishani	—
5.	Green Nishani (Special)	Green Nishani	—	—
6.	Chowri (Special)	Chowri	—	—
7.	Chamara (Special)	Chamara	Chamara	—
8.	Danka on horse (Special)	Danka on horse	—	—
9.	Simhalalatada Palki (Special)	Simhalalatada Palki	Palki	Palki (ordinary)
10.	Garuda Nishani	Garuda Nishani	—	—
11.	Hanuma Nishani	Hanuma Nishani	Hanuma Nishani	Hanuma Nishani
12.	Pattada Kathi	Pattada Kathi	Kathi	Kathi
13.	Pattada Kodli	Pattada Kodli	—	—
14.	Pattada Katari	Pattada Katari	Katari	Katari
15	Gaggarada Kattige	Gaggarada Kattige	—	—
16	Bhalle Kattige	Bhalle Kattige	Bhalle Kattige	Bhalle Kattige
17.	Red Umbrella	Red umbrella	Red umbrella	Red umbrella
18.	Kathi	Kathi	Kathi	—
19.	Katari	Katari	Katari	—
20.	Hue Varaha	Hue Varaha	Hue Varaha	Hue Varaha
21.	Mokhara	Mokhara	Mokhara	Mokhara
22.	Seating a boy in Palki	—	—	—
23.	Seating an adult on horse.	Seating an adult on horse.	—	—

Honours permitted by the Swiddan Arrangement in 1915	Honours prayed for in the petition before Govt
Aftagiri (Rupa)	–
–	–
–	Sweta Chatri
Yellow Nishani.	Yellow Nishani
–	Green Nishani
–	Chowri
Chamara	Chamara
–	Danka on horse
Palki	Simhalalatada Palki
–	–
Hanuma Nishani	Hanuma Nishani
Pattada Kathi	Kathi
–	–
Pattada Katari	Katari
–	–
Bhalle Kattige	Bhalle Kattige
Red umbrella.	Red umbrella.
Kathi	–
Katari.	–
Ane Varaha.	Ane Varaha
Mosharu.	Mosharu
–	–
–	–

Serial No.

Order.

76) The prayers of the petitioners before the District Magistrate was that their claim to parade certain insignia in procession during the Dasara and on marriage occasions might be recognised and licenses issued accordingly. Some of these insignia are classed as "royal honours" and others as "special honours" according to Government Order No.1145/Pol. 202-14-42 dated the 19th November 1919. The petitioners however did not press their claim in respect of the royal honours and as regards the special honours also the District Magistrate considered that the evidence adduced did not establish the claim.

When the appeal was heard before Government a request was made for permission to adducing additional evidence. This request was granted. The additional evidence is chiefly as to the status of the family. Dr. Shama Sastri's evidence, based on historic documents, is to the effect that the Belagutti Ursus represented by the present petitioners were noted malnad chiefs from the 12th century up to the time of Hyder. I am inclined to accept this view, but it does not directly help the case of the petitioners. There is no "documentary evidence in proof of specific grant by sovereign authorities". The question therefore is whether there is evidence of "long and uninterrupted usage of fifty years or more". The District Magistrate's view is that the oral evidence on this point is "not convincing". Oral evidence in respect of such matters is generally not dependable, but in this case we have the testimony of some very old residents

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

30 Parading of Insignias — Appeal

6469/35 3252/35

Pol File No. 105 of 1932 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

of the place.

On a perusal of all the evidence recorded in 1916, in 1922, in 1929 and in 1933, I think that it may be held to be fairly established that the family has been enjoying the two special honours "Yellow Nishani" and "Chamara" and parading them on the occasions of Mahanavami and Dasara processions. Certain other special honours are also claimed but for reasons mentioned in the report of the Assistant Commissioner in para 5 of his report dated the 26th March 1932, I consider that the case is established only in respect of the two special honours above named.)

The Dt Magte may be authorised to issue licences for the on the basis of the above order

14/5/35

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 14 MAY 1935 No. 5229

Draft prepd 15/5/35

Draft order is submitted for appd

16/5/35 MSR

The entire order may form the draft

MR 16/5

Draft order is returned accordingly for appd

16/5/35

Issued 16/5

22/5/35

Issued G.O. No. P 6365 dated 17.5.35



2253 of 32
P. 31/P



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.



---oOo---

PETITIONER:- Mariappaji Urs, Belgagutti Village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga District.

---oOo---

The Petitioner begs to appeal against - the Order of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, Shimoga in J.C.150 of 1932-33 on his file - and begs to state as follows:-

1. The Petitioner belongs to the ancient - Anegondi family and is drawing political pension.

2. The Petitioner claims special honours of

(1) Sweta Chatri.

(2) Yellow Nishani.

(3) Green Nishani.

(4) Chowri.

(5) Chamara.

(6) Danke on horseback.

(7) Simha Lalatada Palaki.

3. The Petitioner have ~~known~~ proved uninterrupted usage for over 50 years.

4. The learned Deputy Commissioner has - erred in:

(1) appreciation of evidence let in the case.

(2) in not considering the connection of the appellant to Anegondi family.

(3) not considering the probability - arising from possession of these - honours by the Petitioner.

(4) failing to consider that the - Opponent had no <u>locus standi</u> to - contend against the Petitioner's - claim.

5. The learned Deputy Commissioner has not perused the previous records in the case - particularly the license formerly granted.

6. The Order of the Deputy Commissioner is against evidence.

WHEREFORE the Petitioner respectfully - prays that the Order of the Deputy Commissioner be modified and the honours asked for the granted.

THE PETITIONER FURTHER PRAYS THAT pending the disposal of this Petition, immediate temporary order be passed for all the HONORS as the Navami - and Dasami festivities are to be celebrated on - the 8th and 9th instant.

ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪ ಪಿಂಡಿಗಿ

6-10-1932.

BANGALORE.

<u>PETITIONER.</u>

<u>ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONER.</u>



33

(3)

In the Court of THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

No. of 193 –193 .

Appellant Plaintiff		*Respondent Defendent*
PETITIONER:-		
Mariappaṛje Urs, Belagutti, Honnali Taluk.	**Vs.**	

I, ~~the Petitioner~~ above named do, by these presents, retain Messrs. BELUR SRINIVASIENGAR, B.A., B.L. M.L.C., M.R.A. and N. RAMA IYENGAR, B.A., L.L.B., Advocates to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgment.

2. I further empower them to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds and all sums of money that may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocates or by any other Advocate on their behalf in so doing.

6-10-1932
BANGALORE.

ಪಿ ಆರ್ ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪರಜೆ ಅರಸ್

rsonally known to us and signed in our presence.—ACCEPTED.

Belur Srinivasiengar
Advocates.

ites for PETITIONER.

ess, B. City.

34

2719
Eur (Mict PP 1-3)

10th November 1932

The

The District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

I am directed to forward herewith an appeal petition dated 6th October 1932 received from Mariappaji Urs, Belagutti Village, Honnali Taluk, regarding the use of certain Birudus during the Dasara and to enquire whether there was a license application from the party and under what provision of law the order appealed against now is passed. The connected records may kindly be sent and the petition returned with your reply.

I have the honor tombe,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Chief Secretary to Government.

S R -2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Rel

File No. 105-32

Serial No. 2

f letter replied to .....

No P. 2719/Sec/Rel.III-3)

To

The Dist Magistrate Shimoga Dist

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith ~~for favour of sending~~ the connected records, an Appeal Petition dated 6.10.32 received from Marappaji Urs, Belagutti Village, Honnali Taluk, regarding the use of certain Bunudus during the Dasara festivities and to enquire whether there was a license application from the party ~~in this behalf~~ and under what provision of law the order appealed against now is passed. The connected records may kindly be sent and the Petition ~~may kindly be~~ returned with Your reply.

eneral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

issue 12/11/32

ied by Sec C.S.R.

enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—SRBM—40,000—20-7-31.

8/11/32

There is
for Chief Secy to Govt



2849/32
P 8 36

Presented by
M. H. Ramappa
Ce
18/4/32

Pal 105-32-3

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, B A N G A L O R E.

Mis Appeal No. of 1932 - 33.

1. Mariyappa Raj Urs,
2. Sreeranga Raj Urs,
3. B. Rangappa Rajayya,
4. Lakshmanapparajayya.

residents of Belegutti village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga District } PETITIONERS.

****

The Petitioners humbly beg to state as follows:-

1. They are the members of the Belagutti Pollegar family which is a very ancient one and which is in receipt of hereditory political pension for over a century and a quarter.

2. That their family have been in long and continued enjoyment for two or three centuries of certain honours by virtue of the family having been the Rulers of

of that part of the territory under the suzerainty of the Mysore Royal Family.

3. That owing to the ill-feeling between the Kote Family which is of a recent origin and the Petitioners who belong to the Pete Family which is the original Pollegar family - the former wanted to harass the latter and wanted to enjoy certain honours which the Petitioner's family have all - along been enjoying. As the Petitioners' family was the only one entitled to the honours and as the Kote Family people could not secure the same the latter initiated an agitation against the Petitioners enjoying their honours about the year 1915.

4. The local authorities having inquired into the matter in detail found that the Kote Family had no right whatever, but yet ordered that the Petitioners should obtain licenses ~~and~~ before performing their processions etc., to avoid breach of the peace.

5. That ever since then the opposite faction has been obstructing the due enjoyment of the honours by the Petitioners in various ways, but yet the Petitioners used to have licenses to carry - their honours during Dasara and Special occasions.

6. That in the year 1915 when the question

was

was gone into in some detail the Petitioners were permitted the use of the following - honours, vide license dated 16th October 1915 copy enclosed:-

1. Mohar (seal)	1. Hanuma Nishani
1. Ane Varaha	1 Yellow Nishani
1 Pattada Katti	2 Afthagiries
1 Pattada Kathari	15 Swords
1 Palanquin	4 Guns
1 Red Umbrella	5 Kattaries
2 Chamaras	2 Bhalle Koties.

The Petitioners submit that the other honours which they have been enjoying for centuries like Sweta Chatri and Chowries and Danka on horse back were not agitated for them just to avoid needless trouble and possibility of breach of peace.

7. The Kote Family people have however admitted that the Petitioners' family could have the enjoyment of all the honours (vide the statements of Rangappa, Venkatasayya and Gundappa on 2nd December 1922 in the Court of the Second Class Magistrate, Honnali in Mis. C. No. 1 of 1922-23).

8. That again in 1927 the said Gundappa

of the opposite faction gave a petition against the Petitioners inspite of a Mahazar dated the 4th September 1927 to which he was a party himself in which the witnesses had admitted that the Petitioners were in the enjoyment of the honours and a protracted enquiry was started which ended with the order dated 26th September 1932 of the learned Deputy Commissioner.

9. The First Petitioner who had not all the records with him filed an appeal petition on 6th October 1932 in order to be within time and now the Petitioners beg to add the following additional grounds for the kind consideration of the Government.

(a) The learned Deputy Commissioner erred in not relying upon the evidence adduced by the Petitioners in the case on the bare ground that ~~the~~ " the oral evidence is not very - convincing " without discussing the evidence as he is required to do in all such cases. Besides, it is not clear what exactly is the quantum of evidence required, and how the ~~same~~ evidence is not convincing. It is submitted that there have been witnesses as old as 105 years who have spoken to the uninterrupted usage for over 50 years.

(b) The learned Deputy Commissioner

erred

erred in holding that the evidence does not establish that the Petitioners have been in enjoyment of the honours for an uninterrupted period of 50 years or more, when he was prepared to believe the very evidence as regards the fact that the honours being were used on the two occasions of Maharnavami and Vijayadashimi.

(c) The learned Deputy Commissioner erred in holding that the proper sanction is required to enjoy the honours, when the Government Order No. 1145/Pol.202-14-42 dated 19th November 1919 does not lay down that as the only condition, and on the other hand, clearly lays down that long and uninterrupted usage of 50 years or more would be sufficient to establish the right.

(d) The learned Deputy Commissioner's order virtually amounts to the taking away of a right which the Petitioners have all along been enjoying for over a century, which it is humbly submitted, he has no powers to do. He could at best have recommended to Government for final orders.

(e) That the learned Deputy Commissioner has failed to draw the logical inference from the fact of the posses-

possession of the honours and their retention and use for over a century. In fact, he has failed to note the antiquity of the honours and to draw the necessary inferences thereon.

(f) That the learned Deputy Commissioner erred in relying on the question of proper sanction which in a case like the present it would be difficult to produce in view of the fact that the Petitioners' family were themselves ruling over a certain tract of land & the honours were only incidental to their position.

10. That the Lower Court failed to note that the local authorities themselves have granted license in 1915 for some of the honours now in dispute including Chamara, Yellow Nishani, Afthadgiri which is a Royal Honour.

11. The Petitioners submit that the pending the disposal of this appeal the Government may be pleased to stay the order of the Deputy Commissioner and permit them to use the honours they were permitted to use as per license issued in 1915.

12. The Petitioners have been put to considerable hardship as the Dasara festivities have been suspended and no marriages have taken place for the last 5 years in the family consisting of over 50 souls.

13. That it is humbly submitted that it

it is a great humiliation to the Petitioners to be deprived of what they have been enjoying for centuries.

The Petitioners therefore humbly pray that this Honourable Court may be - pleased to order that the honours prayed for in the first appeal petition may be allowed to be enjoyed by the Petitioners in addition to those recognised by the Deputy Commissioner and further pray that pending the disposal of the appeal, Government may be pleased to order that those honours which they have been enjoying under license of 1915 and during subsequent years may atleast be enjoyed for the present.

Bangalore, J.B. Desouza
18th November 1932. ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONERS.

NB. The petitioners further submit that there are references in the authoritative work known as "Kadire rayara vrittanta" to the position held by the petitioners family & some of the honours earned and enjoyed by them.

J.B. Desouza
adv: for P"rs.



ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮೆ.

Before the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಿ 192 —192 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ನಂ. _____

(ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ) ವಾದಿ (ಅಪ್ಲೀಲೆಂಟ್) (ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರ) ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ (ರೆಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆಂಟ್)

Sri Rangaraju
Hosur

Vs.

M.A. Mumbai Karnataka
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಾದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್
~~ಪಿಯು ರಾಯಲ್ ಪಿ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ ರಾವ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್‌ಎಲ್. ಬಿ.~~ ಇವರಿಗೆ.

Krishnamurthy _____ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಜಿದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆ ನಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ತಿಕ್ರಿ ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸಾಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ತಿಕ್ರಿಹಣ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಭತ್ಯ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಇತರ ವಿಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಬಲಗು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೇ ಆಗಲಿ, ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಇನ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರೇ ಆಗಲಿ ನನಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದಾ ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾ: _____ 192 .

ರುಜು

ಕೆಂಪಣ್ಣಗೌಡರ ಮಗ ಸಂಜೀವಗೌಡ ಅಲಿಯಾಸ್
ಬಿ. ಸಂಜೀವಗೌಡ

Accepted

M.A. Mumbai Karnataka
Advocate ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು. ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಮೇಲಾಕಟ್ಟೆಕೊಪ್ಪಲು ಚಿಕ್ಕಾಯಿ
7. 10. 1932

(ಪೆಟ್ಟೀಲ್ ಅಥವಾ ಶ್ಯಾನುಭೋಗನ ರುಜು.)

ಶಂಕರಾನಂದ ಪ್ರೆಸ್, ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ.

EXHIBIT XII.

LICENSE.

From,

The Court of the Second Class Magistrate,
Honnali Taluk.

To

Messrs. Rangappa Rajayya and Mariyappa Rajayya,
Ursus of Belaguthi Village.

According to your request you may go to the tank which is to the west of your village with the undermentioned insignia at the time of worship of horses and at the time of holding cow during the Dasara, and also you may take horses and insignia to the (said) tank on Navami day and perform worship and return by the same route. On Dasami day, you may go to Malligenahalli as on previous day with insignia in procession of Bharati and carry God Hanumantha Devaru in procession, and also go to Banni tree as per custom (ಆಚ್ಚವಾದಪ್ರಕಾರ as per original, not clear).

After performing Devara Pooja &c., as usual (mamool), one of you may shoot an arrow and shoot the fruit (Goorikayi) placed at the target, by the people of the village. Afterwards God Hanumantha Devaru should be kept in the temple, and then all should go back to Belagutti.

To this effect permission is hereby given.

At the time of Utsava, no room shall be given for causing galata breach of peace, resisting (ಕಲಹ) &c. If any such should be allowed to take place, the Police will be at liberty to cancel the license and take further action.

(Sd.) S. Soundararaja Iyengar,
2nd Class Magistrate.

16—10—1915.

INSIGNIA.

1 Mohar (seal)
1 Ane varaha
1 Pattada katti (sword)
1 Pattada katari
1 Palanquin
1 Red Umbrella
2 Chamara

1 Hanuma nisani
1 Yellow nisani
2 Abdagirigalu
15 Swords
4 Banathugal
5 Katarigalu
2 Bhalle Kolu (poles)

(Sd.) S. Soundararaja Iyengar,
2nd Class Magistrate.

Dated, 16—10—1915.

The copy of the license which I have exhibited as Ex XII is a copy which came before the Dasabba

45



Presented
by Mr. H.V. Sampige
on
18/11/32

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Mis. Appeal No. of 1932 - 33.

Petitioners :-

Mariappa Raj Urs and others.

****

Petitioners application for an ad interim order:-

The Petitioners pray that for reasons stated in the accompanying petition, the Government may be pleased to pass an ad interim order that pending the final disposal of this appeal, the Petitioners may be permitted to enjoy the honours recognised in license dated 16th October 1915 together with Sweta Chatri which has been admitted in the Mahazar - dated 1927 - and the petitioners may their appeals may be heard before orders are passed on the petitions

Bangalore,

18th November 1932. ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONERS.

3178 832 46

By Regd Post

No. Ji.C. 15c/32-3
Encl: one file

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT,

Dated, Shimoga 3rd December *1932*.

Police

Poc 6 S-32-2

From

GULAM MOHADIN MEHKRI, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

CHIEF SECRETARY
Proto
6 DEC. 1932

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: P. 2719/P.P. 1-3 dated 10/12th November 1932 calling for records on the appeal petition of Mariappaji Urs, Belaguthi, Honnali Taluk re: the use of certain Virudus during Dasara, I have the honour to submit herewith the entire records connected with the subject together with the report No: R.Mis.C.3/26-28 dated 26-3-1932 from the Assistant Commissioner, Shimoga Sub Division, which explains the facts of the case. It may be seen from the records that on the representation of the Pete Ursus of Belaguthi viz., Messrs. Mariappaji Urs and others re; the use of honours by them during Dasra and on the protest of Mr. Gundappa against the use of such honours the Sub Division Officer of Thirthahalli was directed in this office order No: Ji.C.77/26-27 dated 15-1-1929 to hold an enquiry and submit a report with specific findings on the point urged by both the parties. In response to this order the Sub Division Officer held an enquiry and submitted a report referred to above. On the receipt of this report, I heard the parties and passed the order appealed against. This office file connected with the subject is also enclosed.

2. The petition received with your letter under reply is returned herewith.

I have the Honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

PeR/3-12.



Before the Government of
His Highness the Rajasaheb of Miraj

Lic: Appn No of 32.33

Petitioners. — Vs — Opponents

Narsappa & others — Gurubappa & others

Petitioners' application for taking additional evidence.-

1. The petitioner submits that it is absolutely necessary that additional evidence is let in on his behalf.

2. That the petitioner is considerably prejudiced by the fact that there were the opponents who, though they had nothing to do with the case, offered obstruction and opposition at every stage.

3. That one of the important pieces of evidence the petitioner

be discovered is a chapter given specially to the Belagutti family in a standard work known as Kadire Rayara Vittala ಒಡೆಯರ ಅಂಕಿತ ಗ್ರಂಥ, which is found in the Oriental library, Mysore.

4. The petitioner desires to examine a historian of authority to throw light on the above document and other ancient documents in his possession.

The petitioner therefore humbly prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to grant him an opportunity to adduce additional evidence and that the same may be done without notice to the opponents who are not concerned in the matter.

Bangalore
17.2.1933

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಪರವಾಗಿ
ವಕೀಲರು

Adv. Basappa
Advocate for petitioner.
17/2/1933

Appendix F G—1]

3 cases 49

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 5/1/33

Signed by H.C. Daja

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. Memo P 3792/

To Mr. H.C. Dasappa B.A. B.L.

Advocate Mysore

Subject:- Appeal Petition from Meraappa Raj Urs & others of Belagutti against the order of the Dist Magistrate, Shimoga Re the use of certain Boundaries by the Appellants on certain occasions

With reference to the above Mr H.C. Dasappa is requested to deposit Court fees Stamps of the value of Annas Eight for towards process fee for issuing notice to the Respondents in the case. By order

26/12/32 for Chief Secretary.

Memo No P 3793-94/ dt 5-1-33

Copy to Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar B.A. B.L. Advocate, Bangalore ch

WD 71—GRPM—40,000—20-7-31

...may write here any communication to the payee.

Sir; In due obedience to your Rc. P. 3792 dated 5-1-33 addressed to H.C.Das and forwarding a copy of the same in Memo no. P. 3793-94 dated 5-1-33 for my information I beg to send the al[illegible] amount for favour of kind acceptance; the amount is towards process fee for issuing notice to the Respondant

1646/ Received annas eight only being the process fee for issuing notice to the Respondant from Mr. Kariapparaja Urs, (Manja Ramaiaj urs) Belagutti, Shimoga District.

9.1.33

The Supdt. Roth Branch
with Complts)

pd

The amount annas Eight
may kindly be sent to the
Protection Branch for getting
a Court fee Stamp for affixing
to the notice.

10/1/33

Received

Annas eight is sent herewith
for purchasing Court fee stamp.

The Supdt.
Roth Branch
with Complts)

Purchased Court fee Stamp for annas
eight on 10.1.33 vide notice
issue No P 8846 dated 10.1.33

3703 732 54

H. C. DASAPPA, B.A., LL.B.,
ADVOCATE.

BAYYAJI-RAO ROAD.
Mysore, 9. 1. 1933

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore

CHIEF SECRETARY
10 JAN. 1933

Le 10/1/33

In pursuance of our
~~P. No~~ No P 3792 dated 5.1.33
I am sending herewith a
process memo with stamps affixed
for annas eight. and pray
that the appeal may kindly 792
be posted for early date.

Incidentally the fee Yours faithfully
has been received from the
party (Com. Mariappa Reddy) for drawing
direct & necessary action further
to be taken in the matter. This may kindly please
be attended.

9.1.33

Stamps —8—

H. C. Dasappa
advocate

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

P.R0.167/32.33

CHIEF SECRETARY
17 FEB. 1933

(53 4449 of 32

15.2.33

G. R. S. VII.—S-II/12]

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Financial Branch.

READ—

Letter No....., dated.....,
from the Comptroller to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, to the Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

ORDER THEREON: —No.....

DATED *Bangalore*,.....*19*

Under the provisions of Articles.....

of the Mysore Service Regulations, the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, sanction the payment of

.....Pension—

Gratuity of Rupees.....

.....

per mensem to.....

with effect from the.....

in consideration of.....

qualifying service of.....years.....months

.....days rendered by him, the amount of pension—gratuity being debited to.....

Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, with copy of the 1st page of the.....Roll or application, The.....

WD 02229—GPB—500—3-4-17

Police 54

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗ್ವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್. P 4304 Dated 4-2-33

ಈ 9 ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್‌ರಿಗೆ ಬರಸು

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತಶಕದ ಸ 1932—1933 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ಆಪೀಲ್ 9 ನೆ ನಂಬರು

(1) ಕಟ್ಟಲಿಕೆ ಪೈಸೆ (3) ಪೋಸ್ಟೇಜ್
(2) ಅಸಾಧಾರಣ ಕಾಳಜಿ ಪೈಸೆ.

ತ್ರೈಮಾಸಿಕ

ಪೈ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಪೈ. ಚಿನ್ನಿಪೈ. ದುಡ್ಡಪೈ
ಶಿಲ್ಲಿಂಗ್ ಪೈ. ಕಳಿಚಿಪ್ಪಪೈ. ರಂಕ್ರೂಪಾಯಿ
ಅಂಣಪೈ.

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಾರರು, ಮೋಜಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾದವರ

ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 12 ತಾರೀಖಿನಳಗೆ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಆದಬ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸು

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 4 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 1933 ನೆ

ಇಸವಿ, ದ ಜಾಗಾ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

For Chief Secretary,

WD 3218—GPB—1,000—12-5-30



ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1932—1933 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು ..... 7 .....

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

(1) ಕಟೇಲ ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ
(2) ಅನಾಜಿ ಕಾಳಪ್ಪ
(3) ದೊಂಡ್ಡ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಬಸವಪಪ್ಪ.

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

(1) ಪರಂಗನ್ನೆ
(2) ಬಸಪ್ಪ
(3) ದುಂಡಪ್ಪ
(4) ನಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ

ಅರಬಗಟ್ಟೆಯ ಪಟೇಲ್ ಮೇರಕ ದೊಡ್ಡಳ್ಳಿ ನಿವಾಸಿಗಳು

ವಿಷಯ.

(1) ಕರಿಬಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ
(2) ರಾಂಪ್ರಸಾದ್ ಅಂಗನ್ನ

ಮವನ ಭಾವಿ

ಮೇಲಿಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ದಾವಿ-(1—3) ಕಟೇಲಚನ್ನಪ್ಪನ ಅನಾಜಿ ಕಾಳಪ್ಪ ದೊಂಡ್ಡ ದೊಡ್ಡ-

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ.

ಶ್ರೀಪುರುಷ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು 1933 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 17 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಕಾಗಿ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಒಂದು ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಫೆಬ್ರುವರಿ ತಾರೀಖು 4 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 1933 ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

for Secretary to Govt.
Development Deptts.

WD 01139—GPB—1,500—22-12-30

Served by me
from Bhandup
H. Gunchalo
1/33

58

ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

193 —193 3 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು ..... 7 .....

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ವಿಷಯ.

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಗಡಿನಿರ್ಣಯ ಡಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಅಪೀಲು 1931 3 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 17 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಈ ತಾರೀಖು 4 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 1931 ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

for Secretary to Govt.
Development Deptts.

WD 01139—GPB—1,500—22-12-30

S.9

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯು
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಆಜ್ಞೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರು P 3846 / ೧೯೩೭ ದಿ 10—1—33

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಬರಸು.

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಆದಾಗಿ—

ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಸನ 1933 —1934 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ರೆವಿನ್ಯು ಆಪೀಲ್ ನೆ ನಂಬರು

(1) ಚಾಮರಾಜ
(2) ಪುರಿಮಳ್ಳಿ ಪ್ರಕಾಶ್ ಅರಸ್
(3) ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ ಅರಸ್
(4) ರಂಗಪ್ರಕಾಶಂಮ್ಮ
(5) ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಜಾನಕಿಪ್ರಕಾಶಂಮ್ಮ

ಶ್ರೀನಾಥ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ ಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪಿದ್ದು ಮೋಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಪಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು, ಮೋಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾದವರ

ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದ್ದರ ಹಮ್ಮಕಾ ಕಳಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಸೈಕಾರ ಮೋಸಾಳ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸ್ಟೆಜ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕಾಡ್ಲಿ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 10 ನೇ ಮೊದಲ 1933

ಇಸವಿ, ದರ ಜಾಗಾ

By Order,

Secretary, to Govt

WD 3218—GP3—1,000—12-5-30



60

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್. P-3846 Dated 10-1-1933.

Ex 7

ಕಾಂನಾಳ್ಕಳ್— ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲೆದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಬರಿಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಸ್ವಿನ ಸನ 1932—1933 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ಆಪೀಲ್ 8 ನೆ ನಂಬರು

(1) ಗಾಂಚಿ ಪುರಿಯುಕ್ತ್ರಕಾರಜ್ಜೆ
(2) ತ್ರಿಕ್ಕಂಗಪ್ಪಕಾರಜ್ಜ
(3) ರಂಗಪ್ಪಕಾರಜ್ಜ
(4) ಲಪ್ಪಿಕಾರ್ಪ್ರಕಾರಜಮ್ಮ

ಶ್ರೀಗಾಂಧಿ-ಸಂಕಲ್ಪಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಮೊಕದ ಮೀಜು ಉಪ್ಪಿಗಾರರು, ಮಾಜಿ ನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾದವರ

ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯ್ಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹಮರಹಾ

ಈಕಿಂಗಳ್ಳ ಇನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಗಳ್ಗೆ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕಾಪ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ತಾರೀಖು 10 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜನವರಿ 1933 ನೆ

ಇಸವಿ, ದ ಜಾಗಾ

A7023/32-33

11.1.33

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Govt

WD 3218—GPB—1,000—12-5-30

61

Pro 135
32-33

ನೋಟೀಸ್ 62

ಮೈಸೂರು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್-ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಸೆಕ್ರಟರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1932-1933 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 8

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ (1) ಮರಿಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಅರಸಿಕೆರೆ
(2) ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಕರಾ ಚೆಲ್ಲಕರೆ
(3) ರಂಗಶ್ರೀರಾಜಯ್ಯ
(4) ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಚೆಲ್ಲಕರೆ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ (1) ಸುಂಡಕ್ಕಿಮಾಲಿಯ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪ್ಪನವರ (ಚೆಲ್ಲಕರೆ)

ವಿಷಯ.

ಜನರಾಲ್ ಡಬ್ಬಲ್ ಪಲ್ಲಿದೇಟಿ ಅರಸು ಗಳಿಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲ ಪ್ರ
ಚಿಕ್ಕು ಗಳ್ಳೂಡಸಿ- ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನಾಗಿ- ಶ್ರೀರಂಗ
ವ ವಿಚಾರ.

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ—ಸುಂಡಕ್ಕಿಮಾಲಿಯ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪ್ಪನವರ—

~~ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ~~

ನಿಮಗೆ ~~ಜೆನರಲ್~~ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು 1933 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ 12 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ವೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ~~ಹತ್ತು~~ ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ~~ಈ ಒಂದನೇ~~ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಇಂತಿ ತಾರೀಖು 10 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜನವರಿ 1933 ಇಸವಿ

ವೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

for Secretary to Govt:
~~Development Deptts.~~

WD 01139—GPB—1,500—22-12-30



ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಲೈಸನ್ಸು
1932–1933 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ~~ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ~~ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 8

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿಗಳು ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಲಿಂಗಮ್ಮ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
(1) ಗುಂಡ್ಲುಪೇಟೆ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್
(2) (ಚೇಳ್ಳಗುತ್ತಿ)

(1) ಶ್ರೀರಂಗರಾಜ ಅರಸ್
(2) ಶ್ರೀರಂಗರಾಜ ಅರಸ್
(3) ಬಿ. ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿರಾಜಯ್ಯನವರು
(4) ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣಸ್ವಾಮಿರಾಜಯ್ಯನವರು
(ಚೇಳ್ಳಗುತ್ತಿ)
ವಿಷಯ.

ದಸರಾ ಹಬ್ಬದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಸುಗಳಿಗೆ
ಕೆಲವು ಬಿರುದುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ವಿಚಾರ

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಕಾದಿ - (1) ಪರಿಯಶ್ರೀರಾಜ ಅರಸ್
(2) ಶ್ರೀರಂಗರಾಜ ಅರಸ್
(3) ಬಿ. ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿರಾಜಯ್ಯನವರ್
(4) ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣಸ್ವಾಮಿರಾಜಯ್ಯನವರ್

~~ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ~~

ಸವಮನ ~~ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ~~ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ
ಅಪೀಲು 1933 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜನವರಿ 12 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ಎರಡು ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ~~ಬಂದಿರಬೇಕು~~
ಸೈಲ್ಡ್ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಇ ತಾರೀಖು 10 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜನವರಿ 1933 ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,
Clerk
for Secretary to Govt.
~~Development Deptts.~~

WD 01139—GPB—1,500—22-12-30

ಈ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯ ನಮ್ಮ ತಂದೆ ಇಂದನೆಯವರು ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪರವರು ಅಸ್ವಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ
ನಾನು ನೋಡಲು ನೆಂಗಕಿಕೆಳಿದು ಹೊಂದು ಲಗತ್ತಿನೆಂಬಲು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂ
ಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ೭-3-4 ನೇ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರು ಪುರಸ್ಕರಾಂಶಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯ
ರಿಂದ ಲುವರಿಂಬ್ಬನಕಲು ಗಳನ್ನು ಅವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನೆ
ರೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ತಾ|20-1-33

ಮರಿಯಪ್ಪರಂಜಿಲಿಪ್ಪಳ್.

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ:— ಮಂಜಾಕ್ಷಿಯವರು

20-1-33

Served by me Chinna
A. Ranabasappa Head Peon
R-9,
Bellagutti-Hubli
20.1.33

Hy 27-1-33

Before the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore. Bangalore

Hearing both sides
by
25/1/33

Misc. Appeal No. 8 of 32/33

Appellants vs. Respts

Veerappa Rajeurs & others

Gundappa
Sri Giriyappa
Advocate

Respondents' memo

The undersigned got a
vakalat from Dr. H. Narasimhaiah
B A B L
Advocate, Shimoga just now and
the party is living in a
far-off place to take instructions
from him to conduct the case.
Under these circumstances the
undersigned prays that the
case may kindly be adjourned

Hearing both sides
by
25/1/33
Misc. Appeal No.
8 of
32/33

Before the
Hon'ble Mysore
Chief Justice
and
Hon'ble Mr. Justice
Venkatasubbaiya
Today
the 27/1/33

adjourned to some other date.

Mule Matthew
Narasimhan
Adolf Roop

25-1-33

68



4/27-1-33

Before the Government of His Highness ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ
the Maharaja of Mysore

License application No: 8 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 32-33 ಸನ್ 19 — 19 ನಮೂ ಇಸವಿ
License No: 8/32-33

(~~ಅಪೀಲು~~) ವಾದಿ (ಅಪ್ಪೆಲೆಂಟ್) (ಎದುರುದಾರ) ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ (ರೆಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆಂಟ್)

Maniappa

Ramaiah

Sri Sri Lakshminarasappa by Manu

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್

ಮು॥ ರಾ॥ ಎಚ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್., ಯವರಿಗೆ

4th Respondent ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಾದಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನಕಲು ವಗೈರೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಜಾರೀಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಗೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀದಿ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸಾಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೋಸೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಡಿಕ್ರೀಹಣ, ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಭತ್ಯ ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಇತರ ವಿಧವಾದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಮೊಜಲಗು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೇ ಆಗಲಿ, ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಇನ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರೇ ಆಗಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾ॥ 27-1-1933. } ರುಜು - ಗುಂಡಪ್ಪ ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ ಕೋಳಿಯವರು

Accepted

Narasimhaiah
Advocate

Sri Lakshminarasappa

Advocate

23/1/33

ಈ ನೊಖ್ತಾರ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು; ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮೆ ಇವಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Narasimhaiah
Advocate

(~~ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ~~ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದಿಗಳ ರುಜು)

23.1.33

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಮುದ್ರಾಕ್ಷರಶಾಲಾ ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ.

Statement of Sangappa

Son of Holeyappa

Age 70

Caste Kheri Setti

Occupation Trade.

Residence Belagutti

Bisnudus used by Seenappa nayaru - Son of Achutanagaru ...

ಬಾಯಿ ಕೆಂಪು ಕೊಡೆ - Horse, Palanquin. Umbrella
ಕೆಂಪು, ಬಾಯಿ ಕೊಡೆ Chamara, Affagiri, 4 Nishans
ಅಫ್ಫಗಿರಿ - ಧ್ವಜ
ಕೆಂಪುಕೊಡೆ -

ಕೆಂಪು ಕೆಂಪು ಕೊಡೆ Red umbrella
ಬಿಳಿ ಕೆಂಪು White ditto - -

ಕತ್ತಿ - Sword
ಕಠಾರಿ Katari
ಮಕರ ತೋರಣ. Makara Thorana.

Statement of Marithimmappa.

Son of — Sannathimmappa

Age — 65 (about)

Caste — Sivachara

Occupation — Agriculture

Residence — Maligenahalli

Birudus used by Sreenanjarayam & Mariappa raja Ur

ಮಕರತೋರಣ ಕೆ- Makara Thorana

ಕೆಂಪುಕೊಡೆ — Red Umbrella

ಬಿಳಿಕೊಡೆ — White - ಡಾ -

~~ಅತ್ತಗಿರಿ~~ Attagiri

~~ಚಾಮರ~~ Chamara

ಅಲಂಕಾರಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ Decorated palanquin

ಕುದುರೆ — Horse

Statement of Lanna Ranghanna

Son of Thimmanna

Age - 60

Caste Kshatriyaru

Occupation — Agriculture

Residence - Belagutti

Biruds used by Messrs Srinarayana and Mariappaiah

ಅಲಂಕಾರ ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ	Decorated palanquin
ಮಕರ ತೋರಣ	Makara Thorana
ಬಿಳಿ ನಿಶಾನಿ	White Nishani
ಕೆಂಪು ನಿ—	Red —ನಿ—
ಹಳದಿ —ನಿ—	Yellow —ನಿ—
ಚಾಮರ ——	Chamara
ಗಗ್ಗರದ ಕತ್ತಿಗೆ	Gaggarda Kattige
ಅಫ್ತಗಿರಿ	Aftagiri
ಗನ್	Gun
ಬಂದೂಕು	

Statement of Marihallappa

son of — Kanibasappa.

Age ---- 85 —

Caste —— Lingayat

occupation -- Agriculture

Residence. — Maligenahalli

Bixadus used by Pete-versus

ಶೃಂಗಾರಪಲ್ಲಂಕಿ ಬಕ್ಕಲಕ್ಕಿ —— Decorated palanquin

ಬಿಳಿ ಕುದುರೆ — White horse

ಬಿಳಿಛತ್ರಿ) White umbrella

ಕೆಂಪು —ಡಿ— Red do—

ಅಫ್ತಾಗಿರಿ Aftagiri

ಚಾಮರ Chamara.

ಹಸಿರು ನಿಶಾನಿ Green nishani

ಹಳದಿ —ಡಿ— yellow —do—

ಬಿಳಿ — ಡಿ— white —do—

ಕತ್ತಿ — Sword

ಕಠಾರಿ — Katari

73

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P. 3869

Dated, Bangalore, ~~10th January 1933.~~ 12

ENDORSEMENT.

H E H O.

Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate,
M y s o r e.

- - -

Subject:-

Appeal petition from Hariappa Raj Urs and others of Belagutti against the order of the District Magistrate, Shimoga, re: the use of certain Birudus by the appellants on certain occasions.

- - -

In continuation of this office memo No.P.3792 dated 5th January 1933 on the above subject, Mr.H.C.Dasappa is informed that the case is posted for hearing for the 27th January 1933 at 2 P.M. and that the hearing will be held in the First Member's Chambers.

By Order,

Sd/- R. Rangu Rao,
Chief Secretary to Government.

74 Memo no P 3870 dy 12-1-33

Copy to Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate, Bangalore City.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

mary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P·3669 Memo

To Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A. B.L.

Advocate, Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( ).

the *193* .

Subject :- Appeal petition from Mariappa Reg Urs & Othr of Belagutti against the order of the Dist Magistrate, Shimoga re the use of certain Bunds by the appellants on certain occasions

: of issue 12-1-33

: d by Mr. C. S. Dyer

In Continuation of this office Memo No P 3792 dated 5-1-33 on the above Subject, Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that the case is posted for hearing for the 27th January 1933 at 2 P.M. and that the hearing will be held in the 1st Members' Chambers.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

By order

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

Memo No P 3870/33 12-1-33 for Chief Secy to Govt

Copy to Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A.B.L.

Advocate, Bangalore City

76

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore, 25/1/1933.

~~**NOTIFICATION.**~~

Memo No P4068.

To

Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate, Mysore City.

Subject -

Appeal petition from Mariappa Raj Urs and others of Belaghatti against the order of the District Magistrate, Shimoga District re- the use of certain birudus by the Appellant on certain occasions.

In continuation of this office Memo No. P. 3869 dated the 12th January 1933 on the above subject, Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that the hearing of the case which was posted to 27th January 1933, has been adjourned to the 17th February 1933.

By order,

Sd/- N. Madhava Rau,
Chief Secretary to Government.

Memo No P4069-72

Copy of the above is forwarded to (1) Memo Mirle Lakshmanappa (2) H. Narasimhaya, (3) Mariappa Rajaru, (4) Sundappa (5) Siriappaiah Belaghatti for information.

By order,

for Chief Secretary to Government.

P.H.

4202/33
P 977

Before the Government Agent Nuwara
Eliya Nanuoya

Lic App No 8 of 1932-33

Appellant

Ramasappan Vs

Rajamanickam

Pleading 17.2.33

Appellant's proctor moves.

1. The appellant submits that he could not get a copy of the original license of the year 1915 from Hanguranketa Valuk, and he has received an endorsement that the same is destroyed.

2. It is therefore necessary to call summon the register of licenses issued in the month of October 1915.

The appellant therefore prays that this Honble Court may be pleased

To summon the Licensee Register of the year 1913 of Honnali Taluk in respect of the month of October 1913.

Process fee for one ten annas received herewith.

Regd 9.2.33

V.C. Basappa
Advocate applt.

Submitted

The party may be informed that charges on authenticated extract from the register may be obtained by him direct.

Submitted for orders with a draft reply

9/2/33

8. FEB. 1933
GEN'L & REV SEC

Encl. No P4381 dated 9.2.33 from V.C. Basappa, Advocate Hosmane informing that an authenticated extract of the Register of Licenses may be obtained by him direct from the Taluk Office Honnali

79

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore. 8th February 1933.

No. P 4381 Gen 9

9

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Mysore City.

Subject:- Appeal petition from Mariapparaj Urs and others of Belagutti Village against the order of the District Magistrate, Shimoga District, re: use of certain Birudus on certain occasions.

With reference to his memo dated 2nd February 1933, (on the above subject) requesting that the production of the register of licenses issued in the month of October 1915 in the Taluk Office, Honnali may be summoned, Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that an authenticated extract from the register may be obtained by him direct.

Court fee stamp of the value of annas ten is herewith returned.

By Order,

K.".R.2. For Chief Secretary to Government

80

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 9/2/33

ned by.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. C/P 4381/Sundry annas Stamps

LLB.

To Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A. BL,

Advocate Mysore city

Subject:— Mysore Appeal petition from Mariappaiah Asst Collr of Bellagutti village against Records of Member Magadi Bhungabad, re use of certain Barudus on certain occasions.

With reference to his memo dated 2-2-33 (on the above subject) requesting that the production of the register of licenses issued in the month of October 1915 in the Taluk Office, Honnali may be summoned, Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that an authenticated extract from the register of licenses in the Taluk Office Honnali may be

81

obtained by him direct

Court fee Stamp of the value

of annas ten is herewith returned

By order

7/2/83

For Chief Secretary

C/- 4614 (Encl. file returned)
Pol 105-32-6

82

23rd February 1933

24

The

The Deputy Commissioner and

District Magistrate, Shimoga District.

Subject :- Appeal petition from Mariappa Raj Urs and others of Belagutti village, re: use of certain Biradus.

---

x. To be kindly returned with the reply.

x.

I am directed to forward herewith an application dated 17th February 1933 presented on behalf of the appellant Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs for producing additional evidence on the above subject and to request you to be so good as to take such additional evidence as may be adduced and submit the case for the orders of Govemment at an early date.

The connected records sent with your letter No.J.1.C. 150/32 dated 3rd December 1932 are herewith returned for reference and retransmission.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

mk.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Rel

File No. 105-32

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P-4614/Sec 1 (6 of Record)

To The D.C. & Dm. Shimoga Dt.

Subject:— Appeal petition from Mr. Mariappa Ray Urs & Ors. of Belagutti village against the order of the Dist. Magte. Shimoga re use of certain Biradari keem on certain occasions.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 24/2/33

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

I am directed to forward an appln. herewith a petition dated 17.2.1933 presented by Mr. Mariappa Ray Urs on behalf of the Appellant for producing additional evidence in the above subject and to request you to be so good as to take such additional evidence as may be adduced and submit the case for orders of Govt. at an early date.

To be kindly returned with the reply

The Connected records sent

List of enclosures.....

Copied by [illegible] 24/2/33

Examined by.....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

84

with your letter no. J·1·C·150/82
dated 3·12·'82 are herewith
returned for reference and retransmission.

I have etc.

23/2/83.

for Chief Secretary



US 709/32
P. 86
C. #67

Police

DEVELOPMENT
23 FEB.

2nd/pr
2nd/pr

In the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore

Petition Box
21-2-33

Lic Appl No 2783

Transferred to Prof. Br Civil Courts 2nd 25/2

Petitioner — vs — Opponents

Mallappa & others — Gundappa & others

Dated 17/2/33

Petitioners Copy application

Disposal 17/2/33

The petitioner prays that a copy of the order passed in the above appeal ~~today~~ on 17/2/33 and the petition presented by the petitioner Mallappa Mangappa on 17-2-33 may kindly be furnished to him.

Copying charges will be paid today above.

Mysore
17-2-33

M.B. Reddy
Advocate for Petr.

No. P-4778 & Cer Stamp
Cer 1 3 blank sheets of paper
Enclosure
One stamp of 8 annas
Value & 3 sheets of blank paper

87

2nd March 1933.

-4

To,

Mr. H.C. Dasappa,
Advocate, Mysore City.

Subject.-Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs and others of Belagutti Village regarding use of certain Birudus.

With reference to his application dated 17th February 1933 requesting that a copy of the order passed on Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs' petition dated 17th February 1933 on the above subject may be granted to him, Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that his application for producing additional evidence has been forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate, Shimoga with the request that he may

(P.T.O.)

take such additional evidence as may be adduced and submit the case for orders of Government.

By Order,

for Chief Secretary to Government.

Aga/2

89

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. P4778/E reletsement

To ~~Council as in (2) block~~ Mr. H.C. Dasappa, Advocate Mysore city

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Ray Urs & others of Belagathi Village re use of certain Bundi

Date of issue 4/3/33

Signed by Jnr C.S. Iyer

"The applr will be forwarded with ~~records~~ the records to the Dist Magte for taking such additional evidence as may be adduced & submitting the case for the orders of Govt"

With reference to his applr. dated 17-2-83 requesting that a copy of the ordr passed on Mr. Mariappa Ray Urs' petition dated 17-2-83 on the above subject may be granted to him Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that ~~a copy of the order is~~ his applr for producing addl evidence had been forwarded to the Dc. ~~Arsikere Court~~ Dm. Shimoga, with the request that he may take such addl evidence as may be adduced & submit the case for orders of Govt. By order

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

6/3/33

for Chief Secy to Govt

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

CONFIDENTIAL.

No. P. 6212 Pol 105-32-8) Gen. 3 — 3187

Dated, Bangalore, 30th May 1933.

From

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The District Magistrate,
Shimoga District, Shimoga.

xxx
Sir,

Subject:- Use of certain Birudus by Mr. Mariapparaj Urs of Belagutti.

S i r,

In continuation of this office letter No.P. 4614/Pol.105-32-6 dated 24th February 1933, on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a petition from Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs and to state that the matter is one between Government and the petitioner and the opponents have really no locus standi. This Office letter of 24th February 1933, referred to above, directed you to take such additional evidence as may be adduced by the petitioner and did not allow other parties being called upon to give evidence, although this would not prevent you collecting yourself all the evidences that may be forthcoming.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

1884

for Chief Secretary to Government.

K.M.R.2/30-5.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Confl

Section PR
File No. 105-32
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. P 6212/Gen 3

To The Govt Agent, Chilaw

Subject:— Use of certain Boundies by Mr. Marappa Ras of Belapatt

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter no P 4614/PR 105-32 6 dated 24-2-'33 on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a petition from Mr. Marappa Ras and to state that the matter is one between Govt & the petitioner and ~~there is no room to any~~ the opponents have really no locus standi. ~~in the case being heard in the matter~~ This office letter of 24th Feby 1933 referred ~~It is the intention of Govt that before that~~ to above directed you to have ~~the Govt Agent may take~~ such additional evidence as may be adduced by the petitioner and did not allow ~~that other parties be taken and that the opponents~~

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 27/5/33

Signed by for D.R.O.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

93

other parties ~~being cast~~

~~May~~ ~~Could~~ ~~Need~~ being called upon ~~as Opponents~~

to give evidence, although this ~~has~~

~~May~~ would not prevent ~~the Court~~ you

~~May have himself~~ collecting yourself all the

evidences that may be forthcoming.

I have etc

29/5/83

BK

30

for Campbell Tolerest

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section PR

File No. 105-27

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P6213

Endorsement

To Mariappa raj Urs, Belagatte Hunsali Taluk.

Subject:- His petition dated 19.5.33 praying that no order be issued to the appeals by the Dist Magte for giving evidence in the case re his right to use certain Bunders.

With reference to the above, Mr Mariappa raj Urs is informed that necessary instructions have been issued to the Dist Magte Mysore ~~in the~~ Dist, in the matter.

By order

for Chief Secretary to Govt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 31/5/33

Signed by

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by.....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

74

Muz. 55]

No P 6213 Pol 105-29

WD 0617-GPB-750--10-8-22

GOVERNMENT OF H. H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Chief Secretariat.

( )

ENDORSEMENT.

Office Memorandum.

To

the 30th May 1933.

3180

Granted to Mariappa Raj Urs, Belagutti, Honnali Taluk.

Subject:- His petition dated 19-5-1933, praying that no notice be issued to the opponents by the District Magistrate for giving evidence in the case re: his right to use certain Birudus

---

With reference to the above, Mr. Mariapparaj Urs, is informed that necessary instructions have been issued to the District Magistrate, Shimoga District, in the matter.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

K.M.R.2/30-5-33.

46 P 33 95

No. J.C. 150 of 31-32
one bundle

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Dated, Shimoga...2nd...July,.....193 .

Pol 105-32-10

FROM

T. V. A. ISVARAN, ESQ., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

4 JUL 1933

RR 4/7/33 -

4/7/33

SIR,

With reference to your letter No: P.4614/Pol.105-32-6 dated 24th February 1933, forwarding the application of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs, for recording additional evidence on his behalf, I have the honour to submit the entire records after examining the witnesses tendered by him. A certified copy of an extract from a manuscript publication called "Kadirerayana Purva Vrittanta" as also a certified copy of a license issued to the petitioner by the Honnali Taluk Magistrate on 16-10-1915 produced by the petitioner are enclosed in the file for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

4/7/33
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

D.O. No. P531 *Dated, Bangalore,* 31st July 1933.

M E M O.

To

Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate, Mysore City.

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr. Mariapparaj Urs and others of Belagutti Village, regarding use of certain Berudus.

In continuation of this office Memo No.P.276 dated 14th/15th July 1933 on the above subject, Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that the hearing of the case which was posted for 4th August 1933 has since been adjourned to 11th August 1933 at 2 P.M.

By Order,

Sd. R. Ranga Rao

for Chief Secretary to Government.

AGs/2

P.T.O.

100

Memo No. P532

Dated, Bangalore the 31st July 1933

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to

Mr. Mariapparaj Urs, Bellagutti, for informatic

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government

Aga/2

(P)

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 6083/P.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 21/7/33

Signed by Jnn. N.A.J Rao

TYPING SECTION
31. JUL. 1933
GENL. & REV SECT.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. Memo P 531 —

To Mr. H.C. Basappa, B.A; B.L.
Advocate, Mysore city

Subject:— Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Rai & others of Belagutte village, regarding ... of certain Berudes.

In continuation of this Office Memo No. P 276 dated 14/15th July 1933 on the above subject, Mr H.C. Basappa is informed that the hearing of the case which was posted for 4th August 1933 has since been adjourned to 11th August 1933 at 2 P.M.

By order

for Chief Secretary to Govt.

P 532/2 31/7/33

Copy to Mr. Mariappa Rai Belagutte for information

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 14th July 1933.

No. P. 276

15

ENDORSEMENT.

Memo.

To

Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Mysore City.

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs and others of Belagutti Village regarding use of certain Biradus.

In continuation of this office Memo No. P.4778 dated 2/4th March 1933, Mr. H.C. Dasappa, is informed that the above mentioned petition will be heard on 4th August 1933 at 2 P.M. in the First Member's Chambers as usual.

By Order,

Sd/- R. Ranga Rao,

Chief Secretary to Government

K.".R.3.

P.T.C

97

Memo No. P 1(87) Dated, 14th July 1933.

Copy to Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs, Belagatti, for information.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government

K.W.R.2/14-7.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

]

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 15-7-33

Signed by J.M.N.J. Rao

No. P 2776 Memo

To Mr. H. C. Dasappa, B.A. B.L.
Advocate, Mysore city

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr.
Marappa Rajus & Others of Belagatti
regarding use of certain Bunds.

In continuation of this Office
memo No. P 1778 dated 2/4.3.33
Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed
that the ~~case~~ hearing of the
above mentioned petition will
~~is posted~~ be heard on
~~for~~ 4th August 1933 at 2 P.M.
~~and that the hearing will be~~
held in the First Members' Chamber
as usual.

By order

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 15/7/33

Examined by 15/7/33 for Secretary to Govt.

WD 0253—GLE—7000—18-8-32

to
15/7/33 Marappa Rajus

H. C. DASAPPA, B.A., LL.B.,
AND
T. MADAPPA, B.A., LL.B.,
ADVOCATES.

5711 / 1933 102

Sayyaji Rao Road,
Mysore 2-8-1933.

6/8/33

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore

Sir,

I have sent this day by post a letter stating that the 11th instant to which date the case of Madappa & Nos is posted for hearing before the first Member of Council is very inconvenient to me and prayed for another date (excepting the 18th inst).

The post is come now

H. C. DASAPPA, B.A., LL.B.,
AND
T. M. RIAPPA, B.A., LL.B.,
ADVOCATES.

104

Sayyaji Rao Road,
Mysore 1/8/ 1933.

protest

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

P.J. 3 & 1/31/8

I am in receipt of your
No. P. 531 dated 31st July 1933
intimating that the appeal
petition of Mr. Ramappa
Reddy of Balagutti village
which was posted to the 4th
Augt has been adjourned to
the 11th August. I am sorry
to say that as I have very

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat 106

No. P588/ Dated, Bangalore, 3rd August 1933.

4

~~ENDORSEMENT~~.

M E M O.

Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate, Mysore City.

Subject.

Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs and other of Belagagutti, regarding use of certain Birudus.

With reference to his letter dated 2nd August 1933 on the above subject, Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that the hearing of the case is posted to 9th August 1933 as requested by him.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

589

Copy to Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs, Belagagutti.

TRM.3

10

Appendix [F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

. of letter replied to.....

eral and Revenue Secretariat

( )

ie 193 .

sue. 4/8/33

y Mr MJ Rao

No. 588 Memo

To Mr. HC. Dasappa, B.A; B.L.
Advocate, Mysore city

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs & Other of Belaputti, regarding use of certain Bunders.

With reference to his letter dated 2.8.33 on the above subject, Mr. HC Dasappa is informed that the hearing of the case is posted to 9th August 1933 as requested by him.

By order

MRP

for Chief Secretary to Govt

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

589/ Copy to Mr Mariappa Raj Urs, Belaputti

WD 0253—SPS—15,000—26-8-32

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P740 - Genl - Dated, Bangalore, 12/8/33

11th Aug. 33.

From

Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To The Chief

Sir,

The District Magistrate,
Chimoga District, Shimoga.

xx

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs, of Belagutti, regarding use of certain Biradus.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.J1.C.150 131-32 dated 2nd July 1933, on the above subject, I am directed to state that the evidence adduced by the petitioner before you consists of (1) an Extract of a kanada manuscript, (2) oral testimony of 4 persons and (3) a copy of a license of 1915. In the absence of evidence as to the value attached to the kanada manuscript no inference can be drawn from this document. The other two items of evidence produced are of no use.

Mr. H.C. Dasappa on behalf of the petitioner offers to withdraw the claim to the use of the royal insignia Aftagiri and asks for an opportunity to summon and examine before you a competent person or persons who can speak to the value of the document No.1. This prayer has been granted and I am

therefore

10.9.

therefore to request you to be so good as to take the further evidence to be adduced by petitioner in this respect and submit the same within 2 months for orders of Government. The kanada manuscript is herein enclosered for reference and return.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

K.W.R.2/11-8.

Appendix F G—1]

110

295

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. P 742 Mcmo

To Mr. H C. Dasappa, B.A, B.L

Advocate, Mysore City.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr Manappa Raj Urs ~~of~~ of Belagutti regarding use of certain Brandes.

Date of issue 10/5/33

Signed by

With reference to the above Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that the Dist Magte. Shimoga Dist has been ~~requested to take the evidence of~~ instructed to take further ~~a Competent person or persons~~ evidence in the matter and ~~map for Summary who can speak~~ Resubmit the records for orders of Govt ~~to the value of the Kanada~~ within 2 months ~~manuscript & submit it to Govt within 2 months~~. Necessary arrangements to produce the evidence before the Magte may be made early - By order

his prayer for a further opportunity to summon and examine before the Dt magte, Shimoga, a Competent person or persons to speak to the value of the Kanada manuscript produced by him, has been granted.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by

P-743/ Memo for Chief Sec to Govt

Copy to Mr

Manappa Raj Urs, Belagutti

9/8/33

111

Muz. 55]

No. ..... WD 0617-GPB-750-10-8-22

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Muzrai Secretariat.

(No P742 - -

Memo.

~~Office Memorandum~~

To

the 11th Aug. ~~xxx~~ 1933.

12

Mr. H.C. Dasappa, B.A., LL.B.,
Advocate, Mysore City.

Subject :- Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs of Belagutti regarding use of certain Birudus.

With reference to the above Mr. H.C. Dasappa is informed that his prayer for a further opportunity to summon and examine before the District Magistrate, Shimoga, a competent person or persons to speak to the value of the kanada mansript produced by him, has been granted. The District Magistrate, Shimoga District, has been instructed to take further evidence in the matter and resubmit the records for orders of Government within 2 months. Necessary arrangements to produce the evidence before the District Magistrate may therefore be made early.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

M.M.F.3/11-8. P743 Copy to Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs, Belagatta.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT 245

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 1/8/33

Signed by Mr. N. A. J. Rao

List of enclosures.....

Copied by 1/8/33

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P 748 Cell

To The Dist Magte, Bhimoja Dist.

Subject:- Appeal petition of Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs of Belapette, regarding use of certain Beredus.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. J.1 C 150/31-32 dated 2-7-33 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the evidence adduced by the petitioner before you consists of (1) an Extract of a Kanada manuscript, (2) oral testimony of 4 persons & (3) a copy of a license of 1915. In the absence of evidence as to the value attached to the Kanada manuscript, no inference ~~produced~~ ~~no (1) does not help~~ can be drawn from this document

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

1/3 The other two items of evidence produced are of no use.

No (3) is no doubt documentary evidence of the kind contemplated in the G.O. No G 11404 - 14 PN 202 - 14 - 41 dated 19.11.1919 (but it goes back only 18 years) and no. (2) is not.

Mr. H.C. Dasappa on behalf of the petitioners ~~has~~ offers to withdraw the claim to the use of the Royal insignia ~~&~~ stamps and asks for an opportunity to summon & examine before you a competent person or persons who can speak to the value of the document No 1. This prayer ~~which prayer~~ has been granted ~~I am directed to state that~~ and I am therefore ~~to enquire into the matter~~.

~~I am~~ to request you to be so good as to take further evidence ~~& submit it to the same~~ within 2 months for orders of Govt. to be adduced by petitioners in this respect. The Kannada manuscript is herewith enclosed for reference & return.

I have etc

9/8/1923

pp
1078

for Chief Secy to Govt

Regd post

3252/14

No: J.1.C.150/31-32.
Encs: Six and One

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Dated, Shimoga 12th December 1933.
(Camp Soulanga)

Pol 105-32-11

FROM

T. V. A. ISVARAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, & District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

By Regd post

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No: P.740 dated 12th August 1933 returning the Kannada manuscript extract from "ಕದಕೆ ರಾಯರವೃತ್ತಾಂತ" filed by Mr. Mariappa Raj Urs in support of his claims for the use of certain Biradus for resubmission after recording the additional evidence of some competent person or persons to be summoned by the petitioner (Mariappa Raj Urs) before this Court, as to the value to be attached to the above document, &c., I have the honour to state that the witnes proposed to be examined viz., Dr. Shama Sastry who is an authority on the subject of ancient monuments and archeological matters, being unable to appear before this Court on account of his old age and ill-health, the petitioner prayed in his petition dated 13th October 1933 that the the above witness may be ordered to be examined on commission at Mysore.

2. Accordingly the District Magistrate, Mysore was requested in my letter No: J.1.C.150/31-32 dated 25-10-33 to cause the above witness examined on commission by any Magistrate to be appointed commissioner by him.

3. Dr. Shama Sastry has been examined by the City Magistrate Mysore in the presence of Mr. H. C. Dasappa Advocate for the petitioner on 25-11-33. The deposition of this witness together with the three exhibits produced during his examination and Mr. Dasappa's Vakalat received with the Mysore District Magistrate's letter No:

G1

115

Gl.605/33-34 dated 29-11-33 are submitted herewith for perusal and orders.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

12/12

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE.
Shimoga District.

TWO ANNAS

GOVT OF MYSORE

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner and District Magistrate. Shimoga

Dated the 26th day of September 1939

Present:- M. G. Mehkri, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.

Deputy Commissioner & District Magistrate

M. C. 150 of 32-33.

Petitioner — Counter Petitioner

Mariyapparaj Urs. vs Gundappa

& others & others

Order

This file relates to the dispute between the ~~petitioners~~ Pete and Kote Ursu of Belagutti Village in Honnali Taluk re the use of Biruduru, during the Dussarah. The petitioners claim the right to take certain Royal and Special honours which the Counter petitioners contend that they have no right to.

COURT OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

2

From the evidence on record, it is seen, that this dispute, dates back from 1915, when the parties were asked to take out licenses, before going in procession on the Navami & Vijayadashami days.

The S.D.O. Shimoga, who was asked to hold an enquiry into this matter, has after examining a number of witnesses on either side, sent up his report for orders. I have perused the records, the exhibits in the case and heard the arguments of the Counsels for both the parties.

The question of the relationship of petitioners, to the Anegondi family does not arise in this case. The only point for consideration is whether the

COURT OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

TWO ANNAS

MYSORE

ಎರಡು ಆಣೆ

3

pete Ursus are entitled to the Royal and Special honours claimed by them. For the Royal honours — Aftabgiri and Makarathorana, they have not produced any Sovereign authority. They cannot therefore be permitted to use them. Further they do not press for these honours now. Among the Special honours they claim (1) Sweta Chatri (2) Yellow Nishani (3) Green Nishani (4) Chowri (5) Chamara (6) Danke on horse back (7) Simhalasada palki. Government have ordered that in the case of these special honours used by private persons, satisfactory documentary evidence in proof of Specific grant by Sovereign authority or long and uninterrupted usage for 50 years or

GOVT OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

more should be proved before a recommendation could be made to Government for permitting their use. In the present case, no documentary evidence is forthcoming to establish their claim to these honours. The oral evidence, is also not very convincing. Even if the witnesses for the petitioners, could be believed, with regard to the use of these special honours on three two occasions, they have not clearly established that they have been in enjoyment of these honours for an uninterrupted period of 50 years or more. The Counsel for the petitioners contended that these honours would not be kept if not used But a mere possession of these honours without proper sanction

COURT OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE DISTRICT

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by

5

to enjoy them Cannot entitle a party to take out these honours.

Under the above Circumstances, the following Birudus may be permitted to the Pete Ukkus, when they go out in procession on the Navami and Vijayadashami days during Dussarah (1). Ordinary palanquin (2) Hamma Nishani (3). Katti (4). Kattari (5) Bhalle. Kattige (6). Red Umbrella (7). Aneravaha (8). Mohare.

The Number of Swords and Guns to be carried on the occasion, may be fixed by the local licensing authority.

Dated 26.9.32 Sd/- M. G. Mehkri

"Copy"

Sd/- [illegible]

M. Ch. Judicial Head Munshi
Dy. Comm's office
Shimoga

THE DISTRICT GOVT. SHIMOGA

Copy applied for on the	Applicant told to appear on the	Applicant appeared on the	Copying stopped for want of copying sheets on the	Additional copying sheets supplied on the
26.9.32	26.9.32	26.9.32	—	—

Copy ready on the	Copy delivered on the	Copied by	Examined by
26.9.32	26.9.32		

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Pol
File No. 105—32
Serial No. 12

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. Memo No P. 3084

To

H C Dasappa Esqr B A B L.
Advocate, Bgre.

Subject. Appeal petition of Sri Mariappa Raja Urs and others of Belaguthi regarding use of certain Bunders.

In continuation of this Office memo no P. 5888/4-8-33 on the above subject Sri H. C. Dasappa is informed that the hearing of the case is posted to 26th January 1934 at 2 P.M in the Chamber of the First Member of Council at Bangalore

Dated 26/12/1933

B. D.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 . .

Date of issue 3/1/34

Signed by Sd C. Hayden

List of enclosures .....

Copied by P.S.N. 2/1/34

Examined by .....

WD 0677—G P B—15,000—9-11-33

To WP-3085
26/12 Copy to Sri. Mariappa Raja Urs. Belaguthi.

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

/22

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section PD

File No. 105 - 32

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... ..... 193 .

Signed by.....

Read— Petition dated 6th October '32 from Mr Mariappaji Urs, Belagutti Village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga Dist. appealing against the order of the Dist Magte, Shimoga Dist. declining to permit the petitioner to Order thereon. No ~~display of the~~ parade certain insignia &c in procession during the Dasara & on Marriage occasions.

2. Correspondence ending with letter no J.I.C. 150/31-32 dated 12-12-1933 from the Dist Magte, Shimoga Dist.

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, kannada part ?

4 Nov 17/5/33

123.

forwarding the connected records of the case together with the additional evidence adduced by the petitioner relating to the ancestry of ~~the~~ his family etc.

Order thereon No P 6365-67

The appeal was heard on the 26th Jany 1934 for H.C. Dassanayake Advocate appearing for the appellant.

× × ✓ × ✓ × ✓ (The typed para 76 in bulk to the end)

~~On a perusal of all the evidence recorded in 1916, in 1922, in 1929 and in 1933, Govt cannot~~ are of opinion that it ~~cannot be held to be fairly established~~ Govt find that the ~~family~~ petitioners' family has been enjoying the two special honours "Yellow Nekhani" & "Chamara" and parading them on the occasions of Maha Nawam & Dasara processions. Certain other special honours are also claimed but for reasons mentioned by ~~the Report of~~ the Assist Comm in para 5 of his report dated 26th March 1932 Govt Consider that ~~the~~ Case is established only in respect of the two special honours above named.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

124

The Dist Magte is authorised to issue licenses to the ~~petitioner~~ party on the basis of the above orders.

ROP 16/5 — for Chief Secy to Govt

To

1) The Dist Magte, Shimoga Dist with the connected records

2) The Advocate for the appellant

3) Mr. Mariappa Yes Belaguthi village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga Dist.

16/5/35

136—GPB—10,000—17-10-33

125

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Petition dated 6th October 1932 from Mr.Mariappaji Urs, Belagutti village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga District appealing against the order of the District Magistrate, Shimoga District, declining to permit the petitioner to parade certain insignia in procession during the Dasara and on marriage occasions.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.J1.C.150/31-32 dated 12th December 1933 from the District Magistrate, Shimoga District forwarding the connected records of the case together with the additional evidence adduced by the petitioner.

--:0:--

Order No. P6365-67 Pol 105-32-13

Dated, Bangalore, 17th May 1935.

The appeal was heard on the 26th January 1934, Mr.H.C. Dasappa, Advocate, appearing for the appellant.

~~On a perusal of all the evidence recorded in 1916, in 1928, in 1929 and in 1933,~~ Government find the prayer of the petitioners before the Distriot Magistrate was that their claim to parade certain insignia in procession during the Dasara and on marriage occasions might be recognised and licenses issued accordingly. Some of these insignia are classed as "royal honours" and others as "special honours" according to Government Order No.1145/Pol.202-14-42 dated the 19th November 1919. The petitioners however did not press their claim in respect of the royal honours and as regards the special honours also the District Magistrate considered that the evidence adduced did not establish the claim.

When the appeal was heard oefore Government a request was made for permission to adduce additional evidence. This request was granted. The additional evidence is chiefly as to

as to the status of the family. Dr.Shama Sastri's evidence, based on historic documents, is to the effect that the Belagutti Ursus represented by the present petitioners were noted malnad chiefs from the 12th century up to the time of Hyder. Government are inclined to accept this view, but it does not directly help the case of the petitioners. There is no "documentary evidence in proof of specific grant by sovereign authorities". The question therefore is whether there is evidence of "long and uninterrupted usage of fifty years or more". The District Magistrate's view is that the oral evidence on this point is "not convincing". Oral evidence in respect of such matters is generally not dependable, but in this case we have the testimony of some very old residents of the place.

On a perusal of all the evidence recorded in 1916, in 1922, in 1929 and in 1933, Government think that it may be held to be fairly established that the family has been enjoying the two special honours "Yellow Nishani" and "Chamara" and parading them on the occasions of Maharnavami and Dasara processions. Certain other special honours are also claimed, but for reasons mentioned in the report of the Assistant Commissioner in para 5 of his report dated the 26th March 1932, Government consider that the case is established only in respect of the two special honours above named.

The District Magistrate is authorised to issue licenses to the party on the basis of the above orders.

for Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The District Magistrate, Shimoga District, with the connected records.
The Advocate for the appellant.
Mr.Mariappaji Urs, Belagutti village, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga District.

hks.4